

Evidence Summary: Venous leg ulcers: Compression with short stretch (inelastic) bandages

September 2018

Author

Emily Haesler for the JBI Wound Healing and Management Node

For referencing Haesler E. Evidence Summary: Venous leg ulcers: Compression with short stretch (inelastic) bandages. WP&R Journal 2019; 27(2):100-102.

DOI <https://doi.org/10.33235/wpr.27.2.100-102>

QUESTION

What is the best available evidence on effectiveness of short stretch bandages (SSBs) for healing venous leg ulcers (VLUs)?

SUMMARY

Venous leg ulcers (VLUs) are chronic wounds that occur on the lower leg as a result of venous disease. Compression therapy is recognized as gold standard treatment for promoting healing of VLUs.^{1, 2} The best available evidence on SSBs indicates that this form of compression therapy is effective in promoting VLU healing, although other forms of compression (e.g. compression stockings or 4-layer bandaging) appear to achieve superior healing outcomes²⁻⁵ and might heal VLUs slightly faster^{2, 5} (Level 1).

BEST PRACTICE RECOMMENDATION

When there are no contra-indications, use short stretch (inelastic) bandages for achieving VLU healing when other types of compression therapy are not appropriate for the specific individual (Grade A).

Note: Compression therapy carries a higher risk for individuals with peripheral arterial disease, peripheral neuropathy, heart failure or vasculitic ulcers, but may still be indicated.⁶

BACKGROUND

Venous leg ulcers occur due to venous insufficiency. Venous insufficiency describes a condition in which the venous system does not carry blood back to the heart in the most efficient manner, causing blood to pool in the veins of the lower limbs. Venous insufficiency occurs due to:^{2, 7}

- previous blood clots,
- impaired valves in the veins in the lower leg do not close sufficiently after each muscle contraction, allowing blood to flow back to a previous section of the vein (venous reflux), and
- calf muscle pump function not adequately assisting in returning blood to the heart.

Compression therapy works by generating external pressure on the superficial veins and tissues, thereby assisting in venous return. This helps to reduce peripheral edema and induration, and to promote lower limb wound healing.⁸ Compression systems usually utilize graduated pressure. Traditionally, higher pressure is attained at the ankles with pressure decreasing up the leg, although some contemporary systems use a negative pressure gradient.^{9, 10}

Short stretch bandages, which are bandages that have few or no elastomers, are also called inelastic compression bandages. A SSB system usually consists of one or two rolls of SSBs. SSBs have low extensibility (ability to stretch) and a high static stiffness index (SSI).^{1, 11, 12} This means that there is higher level of compression during standing or walking and a lower level of compression during rest (i.e. there is high fluctuation in the level of pressure).^{1, 11, 12}

EVIDENCE

Short stretch bandaging compared with no compression therapy for healing VLUS

Evidence provides support for all forms of compression therapy compared to no compression therapy for treating VLUs.^{2, 5} One RCT (n=53) comparing SSBs to care without compression therapy reported superior rates of complete

SOURCES OF EVIDENCE				
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Experimental designs	Quasi-experimental designs	Observational – Analytic designs	Observational - descriptive studies	Expert opinion Bench research
Systematic reviews ^{2, 5, 7, 14, 16} RCT ^{3, 4, 13, 15}	Quasi-experimental studies prospectively controlled ^{9, 10}	Observational study without a control group ¹²	None	Expert consensus ^{1, 6, 8, 11}

healing at three months associated with SSBs (71% versus 25%). Additionally, 21% of individuals who did not receive compression therapy had an increase in ulcer size over three months, compared with 0% of people receiving SSBs¹³ (Level 1).

Short stretch bandaging compared with other types of compression therapy for healing VLU

A meta-analysis that included individual patient data from five randomized controlled trials (RCTs) found that there was no significant difference in number of ulcers that completely healed using SSB compared to 4-layer bandaging (risk ratio [RR] 0.96, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.88 to 1.05, $p=0.34$). Using a fixed-effects analysis model, 4-layer bandaging treatment was shown to be associated with significantly faster VLU healing compared with multi-layer SSBs (hazard ratio [HR] 1.32, 95% CI 1.09 to 1.60, $p=0.0039$). When a random-effects model was used, there was no significant difference in healing time between compression types (HR 1.30, 95% CI 0.94 to 1.80, $p=0.11$)² The 4-layer bandaging systems reported in these trials generally included an elastic bandage as the third layer in the system and are designed to deliver 40mm Hg pressure at the ankle, decreasing to 17mm Hg at the knee (Level 1).

A second meta-analysis that included the same five RCTs as the above review also found no significant difference in VLU healing rates between 4-layer bandaging and SSBs (HR 0.88, 95% CI 0.76 to 1.02, $p=0.08$)¹⁴ (Level 1).

A third meta-analysis that included 18 RCTs, showed no significant difference in healing between SSBs and elastic (3 or 4 layer) bandaging systems (risk ratio [RR] 0.98, 95% CI 0.91 to 1.06, $p=0.61$). When limiting this analysis to only high quality RCTs, a trend favoring elastic bandaging systems for VLU healing at 12 months was noted. The same review reported a non-significant difference in time to achieve VLU healing outcomes between SSB and elastic bandaging of 0.5 months (5 RCTs, 95% CI, -0.6 to 0.16, $p=0.41$).⁵ Most of the elastic bandaging systems included in the review were 4-layer systems. Some of the systems included an elastic bandage as the third layer in the system and were designed to deliver 40mm Hg pressure at the ankle, decreasing to 17mm Hg at the knee. However, most systems are not reported in detail. (Level 1).

Another meta-analysis showed that high compression stockings are more effective than SSBs for achieving complete VLU healing in two to four months (4 RCTs, risk ratio [RR] 1.62, 95% CI 1.26 to 2.10, $p=0.06$). The result was the same when using a random effects model ($p=0.06$).² A second meta-analysis that included eight RCTs supported the finding that SSBs are not as effective as compression stockings for VLU healing outcomes (RR 1.33, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.74)⁵ (Level 1).

In studies published more recently,^{3, 4} SSBs are shown to achieve significant improvements in wound surface area, length, width and volume, although other forms of compression therapy continue to show superior results.³ Ulcers treated with SSBs showed a mean decrease in wound area of 16.66% compared to 58.62% ($p=0.03$) for 4-layer bandaging and 20% ($p=0.03$) for Unna's boot³ (Level 1). In another trial, individuals with superficial venous disease and individuals with deep vein reflux showed significantly

better healing with compression stockings or pneumatic compression compared with SSBs.⁴ An Australian trial found more ulcers healed after 12 weeks of treatment with a multi-layer tubular bandage compared with SSBs (74% versus 46%, $p=0.056$).¹⁵

A narrative review¹⁶ reported evidence that the amount of pressure applied by some SSB systems can reduce after application, although pressure loss slows over time and is maintained at about the same level as other systems by seven days after application.¹⁶ The review also reported that clinical staff were less able to achieve desired sub-bandage pressure when applying SSBs compared to other compression therapy. These findings may explain the inferior clinical effectiveness of some SSB systems compared to other compression therapy reported in the literature (Level 1).

METHODOLOGY

The development of this evidence summary is based on the Joanna Briggs Institute methodology.¹⁷ A structured database search was employed using variations of the search terms describing VLUs and compression therapy. Searches were conducted in EMBASE, Medline, AMED and the Cochrane Library for evidence from 1990 to May 2018 in English.

REFERENCES

1. Partsch H. Compression therapy: clinical and experimental evidence. *Annals of Vascular Diseases*, 2012;5(4):416-22. (Level 5).
2. O'Meara S, Cullum N, Nelson EA, Dumville JC. Compression for venous leg ulcers. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2012(11). (Level 1).
3. Dolibog P, Franek A, Taradaj J, Dolibog P, Blaszczyk E, Polak A, Brzezinska-Wcislo L, Hrycek A, Urbanek T, Ziaja J, Kolanko M. A comparative clinical study on five types of compression therapy in patients with venous leg ulcers. *International Journal of Medical Sciences*, 2014. 14 Dec;11(1):34-43. (Level 1).
4. Franek A, Taradaj J, Polak A, Dolibog P, Blaszczyk E, Wcislo L, Hrycek A, Urbanek T, Ziaja J, Kolanko M. A randomized, controlled clinical pilot study comparing three types of compression therapy to treat venous leg ulcers in patients with superficial and/or segmental deep venous reflux. *Ostomy Wound Management*, 2013. August;59(8):22-30. (Level 1).
5. Mauck KF, Asi N, Elraiyah TA, Undavalli C, Nabhan M, Altayar O, Sonbol MB, Prokop LJ, Murad MH. Comparative systematic review and meta-analysis of compression modalities for the promotion of venous ulcer healing and reducing ulcer recurrence. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*, 2014. August;60(2 SUPPL.):71s-90s.e2. (Level 1).
6. Harding K, et al. Simplifying venous leg ulcer management. Consensus recommendations. www.woundsinternational.com. Wounds International., 2015. (Level 5).
7. Palfreyman S, Nelson EA, Michaels JA. Dressings for venous leg ulcers: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*, 2007;335(7613):244-56. (Level 1).
8. Wounds International. Principles of compression in venous disease: A practitioner's guide to treatment and prevention of venous leg ulcers. 2013. (Level 5).
9. Mosti G, Partsch H. Compression stockings with a negative pressure gradient have a more pronounced effect on venous pumping function than graduated elastic compression stockings. *European Journal of Vascular & Endovascular Surgery*, 2011;42(2):261-6. (Level 2).
10. Mosti G, Partsch H. High compression pressure over the calf is more effective than graduated compression in enhancing venous pump function. *European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery*, 2012. September;44(3):332-6. (Level 2).

11. Partsch H, Schuren J, Mosti G, Benigni J. The Static Stiffness Index: an important parameter to characterise compression therapy in vivo. *J Wound Care*, 2016;25(Suppl 9):S4-S10. (Level 5).
12. Suehiro K, Morikage N, Murakami M, Yamashita O, Ueda K, Samura M, Hamano K. Study on different bandages and application techniques for achieving stiffer compression. *Phlebology*, 2015;30(2):92-7. (Level 3).
13. Charles H. Compression healing of ulcers. *Journal of District Nursing*, 1991;10(3):4-8. (Level 1.c).
14. Nelson EA, Harrison MB. Different context, different results: venous ulcer healing and the use of two high-compression technologies. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 2014;23(5/6):768-73. (Level 1).
15. Weller CD, Evans SM, Staples MP, Aldons P, McNeil JJ. Randomized clinical trial of three-layer tubular bandaging system for venous leg ulcers. *Wound Repair and Regeneration*, 2012. November-December;20(6):822-9. (Level 1).
16. Welsh L. What is the existing evidence supporting the efficacy of compression bandage systems containing both elastic and inelastic components (mixed-component systems)? A systematic review. *J Clin Nurs*, 2017;26(9-10):1189-203. (Level 1).
17. The Joanna Briggs Collaboration. Handbook for Evidence Transfer Centers – Version 4. The Joanna Briggs Institute, Adelaide. 2013



Biatain®

Coloplast Biatain® Literary Awards

Wound Practice & Research, the Australian Journal of Wound Management and Coloplast Australia are pleased to offer three Coloplast Biatain Literary Awards.

These awards are designed to encourage and reward those who publish their wound care clinical experience. The awards acknowledge excellence of original manuscripts, case presentations and clinical research undertaken within Australasia, both novice and advanced.

Each winner of the Coloplast Biatain Literary Award receives \$1000 to be used towards future endeavours in wound management. To enter you must be the first-named author of a manuscript published in *Wound Practice & Research Journal*. Manuscripts must relate to a case study, original research or a literature/clinical practice review, authors are preferably members of Wounds Australia.

Judged by the Editorial Board of Wound Practice & Research annually, one award per category is given based on published articles in the calendar year.

Coloplast manufactures Biatain, Biatain Ag, Biatain Ibu, Biatain Silicone, Biatain Silicone Lite, Biatain Super, Biatain Alginate, Comfeel Plus Transparent and Ulcer dressings which provide integrated solutions in wound healing. Coloplast Wound Care is committed to the development of excellence in wound management practices.



Coloplast Pty Ltd, PO Box 240, Mount Waverley, VIC 3149 Australia
www.coloplast.com.au The Coloplast logo is a registered trademark of Coloplast A/S. © 2017-12 WOU211. All rights reserved Coloplast A/S, 3050 Humlebaek, Denmark.

