### Evidence summary: low- and middle-income countries

# WHAM evidence summary: Papaya-based products for treating wounds

Keywords Papaya, pawpaw, papain, wounds, debridement

For referencing Solomons T and Haesler E. WHAM evidence summary: Papaya-based products for treating wounds. WCET® Journal 2022;42(1):34-39

**DOI** https://doi.org/10.33235/wcet.42.1.34-39

#### **CLINICAL QUESTION**

What is the best available evidence on the effectiveness of papaya-based products for wound healing?

#### **SUMMARY**

Despite a long history in low-to-middle resource countries of clinical use of papaya for managing wounds, limited high level research has been conducted on the effectiveness of papaya-based products. Evidence was available for natural papaya pulp wound dressings, commercial papain extract products (withdrawn from market in some countries due to the risk of anaphylaxis) and an experimental papaya filtrate product (not commercially available). Most studies were conducted in hard-to-heal wounds requiring debridement and the studies were generally at a high risk of bias.

Level 1 evidence<sup>1</sup> and Level 2 evidence<sup>2</sup> for papaya pulp dressings demonstrated an improvement in wound tissue type. Level 3 evidence<sup>3-5</sup> suggested papaya pulp dressings were associated with improvement in wound tissue type, reasonable healing rates and reduction in requirement for further surgical interventions. Level 1 evidence<sup>6-9</sup> for commercial papain products showed improvements in wound tissue type<sup>6,7</sup> and reduction in wound surface area<sup>8,9</sup>. Other Level 1 evidence<sup>10</sup> failed to demonstrate effectiveness, and Level 4 evidence was mixed.<sup>10-12</sup>

#### **CLINICAL PRACTICE RECOMMENDATIONS**

All recommendations should be applied with consideration to

#### **Terena Solomons**

BA Grad Dip Lib Sc AALIA (CP) Health Western Australian Group for Evidence Informed Healthcare Practice, Curtin University

#### **Emily Haesler\***

34

PhD Post Grad Sip Adv Nurs (Gerontics) BNurs Fellow Wounds Australia

Wound Healing and Management Collaborative, Curtin Health Innovation Research Institute, Curtin University

\* Corresponding author

the wound, the person, the health professional and the clinical context.

There is insufficient evidence to make a graded recommendation on the effectiveness of papaya-based products for promoting wound healing.

Evaluate the individual's risk of allergic reaction (e.g., previous latex allergy) and licensing guidance in the geographic region before using topical papaya-based products. Cease use of natural papaya pulp dressings if the person experiences adverse outcomes (Grade B).

#### **SEARCH FOR EVIDENCE**

This summary was developed using methods published by the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI)<sup>13-17</sup>. The summary is based on a systematic literature search in English combining search terms that describe wounds and papaya. Searches were conducted in Embase, Medline, PubMed, Global Health, the Cochrane Library, Allied Health and Complementary Medicine and Google Scholar databases for dates up to December 2021. Searches were also conducted in ten healthcare journals from low-and-middle resource countries. Evidence was limited to clinical studies in humans. Studies were assigned a level of evidence (see Table One) based on JBI's hierarchy<sup>13-17</sup>. Recommendations are made based on the body of evidence and are graded according to the system reported by JBI<sup>13-17</sup>.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Papaya (*Carica papaya*, also called pawpaw) is a tropical plant originating from Southern Mexico and Central America that is now cultivated in tropical and subtropical regions worldwide. Many parts of the tree (e.g., fruit, leaves, seeds and bark) have been used in traditional medicine<sup>26</sup>. Biochemical analysis of papaya has identified several protease enzymes (e.g., papain and chymopapain) with debriding properties that are purported to remove slough and non-viable tissue and prepare the wound bed for healing. Papaya extract has also been reported to have antimicrobial properties<sup>9, 23, 24, 26</sup>. Papaya-based treatment is reported to be cost-effective<sup>7, 11</sup>, and papapaya pulp dressings have been successfully applied and managed by patients/unskilled carers in community settings<sup>1, 18</sup>.

Level 1 evidence: Experimental designs	Level 2 evidence: Quasi-experimental designs	Level 3 evidence: Observational – analytic designs	Level 4 evidence: Observational – descriptive studies	Level 5 evidence: Expert opinion / bench research
1.c randomised blinded trials (RCT) <sup>1,6-9,18,19</sup>	2.c Quasi-experimental prospectively controlled studies <sup>2</sup>	3.e Observational study without a control group <sup>3-5</sup>	4.d Case studies <sup>10-12, 20, 21</sup>	5.b Expert consensus <sup>22</sup> 5.c Bench research/single expert opinion <sup>23-27</sup>

The literature search identified several methods of applying papaya-based products to a wound:

- Natural papaya pulp dressing: Raw pulp from the fruit is prepared and applied directly to the wound bed.
- Commercial processed preparations: Products containing papain enzyme are available in gel, cream, impregnated dressings and other topically applied formulations. Papain is sometimes combined with other active agents including urea and chlorophyllin-copper complex to enhance its action<sup>25</sup>. Due to the risk of severe allergic response, papainbased topical agents are banned by the (USA) Food and Drug Administration<sup>22</sup>.
- Experimental processed formulation: A product prepared as papaya and peach (10-1 by volume), with the fruit flesh treated in a series of processes (titled OPAL001) to form two products a filtrate and a cream<sup>11, 12</sup>. The mechanism of activity for the product were hypothesised to be related to either proinflammatory response, antioxidant effect and/or vasorelaxation<sup>12</sup>. The product is not currently listed with the Therapeutic Goods Administration in Australia where it was developed.

Although no serious adverse reactions were identified in the studies in this evidence summary, papaya has been associated with severe allergic reaction and anaphylaxis, including cross-reactivity in people with latex allergy. This has led to withdrawal of commercial papain-based products from the market in some countries, including the USA<sup>22, 26</sup>. Anaphylactic reaction is reported to occur at a rate of 1%;<sup>27</sup> the response might be associated with the concentration of active ingredients, which is generally higher in processed perparations compared with the natural fruit pulp<sup>26</sup>.

#### **EVIDENCE**

#### Papaya for improving clinical outcomes in chronic wounds

## Studies reporting papaya pulp dressing for wound healing outcomes

One RCT¹ compared the efficacy of two methods of debridement – enzymatic debridement using papaya pulp dressings and mechanical debridement using wet-to-dry saline dressings. Following randomisation, 128 participants were enrolled in the study. Of these, 93% had a chronic wound (7% hard wound dehiscence following surgery). There was a significant improvement in granulation tissue formation with papaya dressings compared to wet-to-dry dressings in the third

and fourth weeks (p < 0.001) and superior reduction in slough/necrotic tissue for the papaya dressing group compared to the wet-to-dry dressing group at each weekly assessment point (week four, p = 0.0082). However, this did not translate to a significant difference in either reduction in mean wound size at four weeks (p = 0.08) or complete wound healing at three months (papaya 78% versus saline 72%, p = 0.488) $^1$  (Level 1.c).

A quasi-experimental study² assessed papaya pulp dressing prepared using fresh ripe fruit for healing diabetic foot ulcers. A convenience sample of 60 participants was assigned to either an experimental or control treatment (n = 30 in each group). The papaya dressings were changed daily for 14 days, while the control group received unspecified routine treatment. A significant improvement in healing occurred over time in the group receiving papaya dressing, as measured using the mean healing score on the Bates-Jensen Wound Assessment Tool (BWAT; pre-test  $26.37 \pm 7.73$  versus post- test  $51.10 \pm 6.81$ , p < 0.001). A significant difference between the experimental and control group was also reported (p < 0.001)² (Level 2.c).

A prospective study<sup>3</sup> followed 94 patients who underwent a surgical procedure to treat a diabetic foot ulcer: amputation (n=31) or surgical debridement (n=63). Thereafter and in conjunction with oral antibiotic therapy, papaya pulp dressings were used for 89% (n=74) of patients. The grated papaya was prepared, applied daily and covered with sterile gauze. Average healing time, defined as achieving healthy granulation tissue with epithelialised wound edges was 21.56 days (range from 17 to 28 days). Further surgery was required for ten patients<sup>3</sup> (*Level 3.e*).

A second prospective study<sup>4</sup> reported outcomes for 135 patients receiving papaya pulp dressings for diabetic foot ulcers (Grade 1-3 on Wagner's classification system). Prior to commencing the second-daily dressing regimen, 96 patients (71.11%) required surgical debridement. Mean healing time, defined as achieving healthy granulation tissue and epithelialised wound edges, was  $19.65 \pm 3.47$  days (range 14 to 29 days)<sup>4</sup> (Level 3.e).

A study<sup>5</sup> that included patients who were receiving combined therapy for diabetic foot ulcers (n = 43) tested the effect of papaya pulp dressings on healing. The papaya dressings were changed every two days. Healing time, defined as achieving healthy granulation tissue with epithelialised wound edges, ranged from 18 to 29 days (mean 19.23 days  $\pm$  3.624) and 88% of the ulcers required no further surgical intervention after papaya dressings commenced<sup>5</sup> (*Level 3.e*).

A case study reported effective use of papaya pulp dressings to heal a post-radiation sacral ulcer. The wound had received surgical debridement, honey dressings, negative pressure wound therapy and failed flap surgery prior to commencing papaya treatment. Second-daily papaya pulp dressing led to healthy granulation after six weeks, allowing the patient to undergo a follow-up successful flap repair<sup>21</sup> (Level 4.d).

## Studies reporting processed papaya-based preparations for wound healing outcomes

In the largest RCT<sup>6</sup> exploring processed papaya-based products, 100 participants with hard-to-heal, sloughy wounds received either papain-urea or collagenase debriding ointment. Treatment was commenced when the wound was stable (no healing observed over the preceding eight weeks) and continued for four weeks, with weekly assessment. The papain-urea group showed statistically significantly superior reduction in slough/necrotic tissue over time (89.22%  $\pm$  15.16% versus 82.51%  $\pm$  17.45%, p = 0.043). Between-group difference was not statistically significant in the first three weeks, and the small difference observed in week four may not be clinically significant. Percent of granulation tissue was statistically significantly greater for the papain-urea group at every weekly assessment, including baseline (week four: papain-urea 6.82%  $\pm$  8.15% versus collagenase 3.58%  $\pm$  3.09%, p = 0.01)<sup>6</sup> (Level 1.c).

Sixty participants with diabetic foot ulcers were randomly assigned to received either papain-urea or an unidentified conventional wound dressing to explore the effectiveness of a commercially available papaya-based debriding agent. Both treatments were applied second-daily. The papain group achieved statistically significantly greater reduction of necrotic tissue (72.27%  $\pm$  4.68% versus 24.63%  $\pm$  3.74%, p = 0.03) and faster granulation (8.73  $\pm$  2.37 days versus 16.03  $\pm$  4.68 days, p = 0.001). The superior outcome led to faster hospital discharge (Level 1.c).

In a small, double-blind RCT<sup>18</sup>, 8% papain gel was compared to both fibrin gel a non-active gel control for the healing of chronic venous ulcers (n = 55 people with n = 63 ulcers). Individual ulcers were randomised to one of the three groups and assessed at baseline then every 15 days. Neither fibrin gel nor papain gel improved ulcer healing compared to the control. This conclusion was based on the following: complete wound healing rates were similar in all groups (fibrin gel 14.3%, papain gel 21.1% and control 30.4%, p = 0.43) and no statistically significant difference between groups in reduction in wound area (p = 0.62). All groups achieved improvements in exudate levels, signs of local wound infection and edge epithelisation by day 60 (all p > 0.05). Two participants (one in each of the active treatment groups) reported mild pain<sup>18</sup> (*Level 1.c*).

In a small, non-blinded RCT, Rodrigues et. al. (2015)<sup>8</sup> reported on the effectiveness of 2% papain gel compared to 2% carboxymethyl cellulose gel for healing venous leg ulcers. Twenty-one participants were randomised, of which 18 participants (n = 28 ulcers) completed the 12-week

study. The results showed a statistically significant reduction in wound area for ulcers treated with papain, particularly between the fifth and  $12^{th}$  week of treatment (p = 0.032) and this was statistically significant compared to the control group (p = 0.006). However, the rate of complete healing was low (two ulcers treated with papaya and no control group ulcers completely healed in 12 weeks) and the amount of exudate and devitalised tissue were similar in both groups (p > 0.05 for both)<sup>8</sup> (Level 1.c).

Another non-blinded small RCT<sup>19</sup> (n = 29 randomised, n = 26 analysed) compared papain-urea to collagenase in non-infected pressure injuries. Participants were treated with moist-to-moist saline dressings in a screening period for up to two weeks prior to commencing the trial. After four weeks of treatment, papain-urea ointment was deemed to be statistically significantly (p < 0.05) superior for reducing wound size, with no pain or discomfort experienced by participants<sup>19</sup> (Level 1.c).

Several case studies<sup>10-12</sup> reporting use of OPAL001 papaya-based products have been published. In the first report, 11 quadriplegic patients with Category/Stage 2 and 4 pressure injuries received OPAL001 products in conjunction with contemporary wound dressings. Complete healing was achieved for nine of the pressure injuries after 6 days to 14 weeks of treatment<sup>11</sup>. In the second case report, removal of non-viable tissue and healing was achieved for two diabetic foot ulcers, one venous leg ulcer and an ulcerated skin graft in individuals with impaired vascular function<sup>10</sup>. The third case report<sup>12</sup> detailed reduction in hyperkeratosis and the size of a sacral pressure injury after four weeks of treatment with OPALA filtrate and cream. Ongoing self-treatment with OPALA cream achieved resolution of hyperkeratosis, but the pressure injury deteriorated<sup>12</sup> (all *Level 4.d*).

#### Papaya for treating surgical wound dehiscence

An RCT° compared the safety and efficacy of papaya pulp dressings with hydrogen peroxide solution in patients with wound dehiscence post-caesarean section (n = 63). Participants received concurrent antibiotics selected following culture and sensitivity. Time required to develop healthy granulation tissue in the hydrogen peroxide group was  $6.2 \pm 1.6$  days compared to the papaya group at  $2.5 \pm 0.5$  days (p < 0.05). Only 3.2% of the papaya dressing group required additional surgical debridement compared with 56% of the hydrogen peroxide group (p < 0.05). Minor adverse events (e.g., local irritation) were reported but not significantly different to those associated with hydrogen peroxide (n.b., hydrogen peroxide is not recommended for irrigating wounds) (*Level 1.c*).

A case study<sup>20</sup> reported that the use of a papain-ureachlorophyllin product applied to post-surgical sternal wound dehiscence was associated with complete healing after 31 days of second-daily treatment. The patient received concurrent negative pressure wound therapy<sup>20</sup> (Level 4.d).

Study	Papaya- based treatment	Number receiving papaya	Type of wounds	Clinical setting	Treatment duration	Mean healing time or percent healed	Other reported outcomes
Level 1 evidenc	e						
Alvarez et. al. (2002) <sup>19</sup>	Papain-urea ointment	N=26	Pressure injuries	Nursing home; USA	4 weeks	Not reported	Change in wound area
Balasubrah- manya et. al. (2017) <sup>7</sup>	Papain-urea ointment	N=30	Diabetic foot ulcers	Acute care hospital; India	Not reported	8.73±2.37 days (granulation)	Percent necrotic tissue
de Araújo et. al. (2017) <sup>18</sup>	8% papain gel	N=19	Venous ulcers	Community; Brazil	15 days	21% wounds (complete healing)	Change in wound area
Vijaykumar et. al. (2011) <sup>6</sup>	Papain-urea ointment	N=50	Hard-to-heal wounds	Acute care hospital; India	4 weeks	Not reported	Percent necrotic tissue Percent granulation tissue
Murthy et. al. (2012) <sup>9</sup>	Papaya pulp dressing	N=31	Surgical wound dehiscence	Acute care hospital; India	Not reported	2.5±0.5 days (granulation)	Surgical debridement
Rodrigues et. al. (2015) <sup>8</sup>	2% papain gel	N=10	Venous ulcers	Outpatient department; Brazil	12 weeks	20% wounds (complete healing)	Change in wound area
Vasuki et. al. (2017) <sup>1</sup>	Papaya pulp dressing	N=50	Hard-to-heal wounds	Community; India	4 weeks	78% wounds (complete healing by 12 weeks)	Percent necrotic tissue Change in wound area
Level 2 evidenc	e					I.	I
Indumathy et. al. (2018) <sup>2</sup>	Papaya pulp dressing	N=30	Diabetic foot ulcers	Acute care hospital; India	14 days	Not reported	Change in BWAT score
Level 3 evidenc	e						
Ch et. al. (2014)⁵	Papaya pulp dressing	N=43	Diabetic foot ulcers	Outpatient department; Pakistan	4 weeks	19.23±3.62 days (granulation)	Surgical debridement or amputation
Rabari et. al. (2016) <sup>4</sup>	Papaya pulp dressing	N=135	Diabetic foot ulcers	Outpatient department; India	14-29 days	19.65±3.47 days (granulation)	Surgical debridement or amputation
Rajaram et. al. (2015) <sup>3</sup>	Papaya pulp dressing	N=74	Diabetic foot ulcers	Acute care hospital; India	4 weeks	21.56 days (granulation)	Surgical debridement or amputation
Level 4 evidenc	e						
Baldwin and Bonham (2011) <sup>12</sup>	OPALA filtrate and cream	N=1	Pressure injury	Community; Australia	> 12 months	Not followed to complete healing	-
Graves et. al. (2008) <sup>11</sup>	OPAL001	N=11	Pressure injuries	Community; Australia	Up to 14 weeks	Up to 14 weeks (complete healing)	-
Melano et. al. (2004) <sup>20</sup>	papain-urea- chlorophyllin ointment	N=1	Surgical wound dehiscence	Acute care hospital; USA	31 days	31 days (complete healing)	-
Mitchell (2011) <sup>10</sup>	OPAL001	N=4	diabetic foot ulcers, venous leg ulcer, skin graft	Community; Australia	Up to 14 weeks	Not followed to complete healing	-

Study	Papaya- based treatment	Number receiving papaya	Type of wounds	Clinical setting	Treatment duration	Mean healing time or percent healed	Other reported outcomes
Nwankwo et. al. (2021) <sup>21</sup>	Papaya pulp dressing	N=1	Post-radiation ulcer	Acute care hospital; Nigeria	6 weeks	6 weeks	-

#### **CONSIDERATIONS FOR USE**

- Papaya-based products facilitate breakdown of necrotic and nonviable tissues that contain protein and the debriding action is from the top downward in the wound. Debridement should be ceased when the wound bed is cleared of slough and necrotic tissue<sup>25</sup>.
- There is no standardised method of preparing papaya pulp dressing. Studies variably use ripe, semi-ripe or unripe fruit pulp<sup>9</sup>. Enzymatic content of the pulp is reported to potential decrease as the fruit ripens, suggesting raw or semi-ripe fruit is more effective<sup>1, 4, 9</sup>. Antimicrobial properties are reported to remain consistent as fruit ripens<sup>1, 4, 9</sup>.
- The following preparation method for papaya pulp dressings is reported:
  - Remove the skin and seeds from papaya fruit<sup>2,5</sup>.
  - Either grate the fruit pulp<sup>9, 21</sup>, or mash it to a paste.
  - Apply the papaya pulp to wound bed after cleansing the wound.<sup>9,21</sup>
  - Covered with sterile gauze<sup>9</sup>.
  - Change the papaya pulp dressing daily<sup>2, 5</sup> or second daily<sup>9, 21</sup>.
  - Unused papaya paste should be placed in cold storage<sup>5</sup>.

#### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The author declares no conflicts of interest in accordance with International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) standards.

#### **ABOUT WHAM EVIDENCE SUMMARIES**

Wound Healing and management Collaborative (WHAM) evidence summaries are consistent with methodology published in:

Munn Z, Lockwood C, Moola S. The development and use of evidence summaries for point of care information systems: A streamlined rapid review approach, Worldviews Evid Based Nurs. 2015;12(3):131-8.

Methods are outlined in detail in resources published by the Joanna Briggs Institute as cited in this evidence summary, and on the WHAM website: http://WHAMwounds.com. WHAM evidence summaries undergo peer-review by an international multidisciplinary Expert Reference Group.

WHAM evidence summaries provide a summary of the best available evidence on specific topics and make suggestions that can be used to inform clinical practice. Evidence contained within this summary should be evaluated by appropriately trained professionals with expertise in wound prevention and management, and the evidence should be considered in the context of the individual, the professional, the clinical setting and other relevant clinical information.

Copyright © 2021 Wound Healing and Management (WHAM) Collaborative, Curtin University.





#### **REFERENCES**

- Vasuki V, Thanmaran N, Vimalakaran B, Madan K. Comparative study of papaya dressing versus normal saline dressing in healing of ulcers. 2017, 2017;4(4):8.
- 2. Indumathy S, Thenmozhi PA, Gowri PM. Effectiveness of papaya pulp dressing on diabetic foot ulcer International Journal of Research in Ayurveda and Pharmacy, 2018;9(5):75-8.
- Rajaram B, Venkanna M, Kumaraswamy BV, Kumar D, Maripeddi K, Puligilla S, Reddy S. The role of papaya dressings in the management of diabetic foot ulcers: A propsective study. Journal of Evidence Based Medicine and Healthcare, 2015;2(42):7365-71.
- 4. Rabari Y, Singh R, Prasad D, Abraham A. The role of papaya (Carica papaya) dressings in the management of chronic ulcers. National Journal of Medical and Dental Research. 2016;4(4):329-32.
- Ch I, Shaikh S, ur Rashid H. The role of papaya dressings in the management of diabetic foot ulcers: A prospective study. Journal of Evidence Based Medicine and Healthcare, 2015;18(1):87-9.
- Vijaykumar H, Pai SA, Pandey V, Kamble P. Comparative study of collagenase and papain-urea based preparations in the management of chronic nonhealing limb ulcers. Indian J Sci Technol, 2011;4:1096-100.
- Balasubrahmanya KS, Praveen MP, Srinidhi M, Shruthi S, Jinumon KV, Rahul DK. A prospective study on effectiveness of use of papain urea based preparation in dressings compared with regular conventional dressings in diabetic foot ulcers Int Surg J, 2017;4(6):1984-87.
- 8. Rodrigues AL, de Oliveira BG, Futuro DO, Secoli SR. Effectiveness of papain gel in venous ulcer treatment: Randomized clinical trial. Revista Latino-americana de Enfermagem, 2015;23(3):458-65.
- Murthy MB, Murthy BK, Bhave S. Comparison of safety and efficacy of papaya dressing with hydrogen peroxide solution on wound bed preparation in patients with wound gape. Indian J Pharmacol, 2012;44(6):784-87.
- Mitchell GK. Clinical observations supporting a vasodilatory effect of the modified papaya extract OPAL001. Wound Practice & Research, 2011;19(4):190-5.
- 11. Graves N, Ashby A. The use of OPAL001 filtrate and cream in the treatment of chronic pressure ulcers. Wound Practice & Research, 2008;16(2):22-9.

- 12. Baldwin C, Bonham S. Treatment of a sacral pressure ulcer and extensive hyperkeratosis with OPAL A filtrate and cream: A case study. Wound Practice & Research, 2011;19:196.
- 13. Munn Z, Lockwood C, S. M. The development and use of evidence summaries for point of care information systems: A streamlined rapid review approach. Worldviews Evid Based Nurs, 2015;12(3):131-8.
- 14. Aromataris E, Munn Z, editors. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. 2021. https://synthesismanual.jbi.global: Joanna Briggs Institute.
- Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Levels of Evidence and Grades of Recommendation Working Party. New JBI Grades of Recommendation. 2013. https://jbi.global/sites/default/ files/2019-05/JBI-grades-of-recommendation\_2014.pdf: JBI.
- 16. Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Levels of Evidence and Grades of Recommendation Working Party. Supporting Document for the Joanna Briggs Institute Levels of Evidence and Grades of Recommendation. 2014. https://jbi.global/sites/default/files/2019-05/JBI%20Levels%20of%20Evidence%20 Supporting%20Documents-v2.pdf: JBI.
- Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Levels of Evidence and Grades of Recommendation Working Party. JBI Levels of Evidence. 2013. https://jbi.global/sites/default/files/2019-05/JBI-Levels-of-evidence\_2014\_0.pdf; JBI.
- de Araújo IC, Defune E, Abbade LP, Miot HA, Bertanha M, de Carvalho LR, Ferreira RR, Yoshida WB. Fibrin gel versus papain gel in the healing of chronic venous ulcers: A double-blind randomized controlled trial. Phlebology, 2017;32(7):488-95.
- Alvarez OM, Fernandez-Obregon A, Rogers RS, Bergman L, Black M. A prospective, randomized comparative study of collagenase and papain-urea for pressure ulcer debridement. Wounds, 2002;14(8):293-301.

- 20. Melano E, Rodriguez HL, Carrillo R, Dillon L. The effects of Panafil when using topical negative pressure to heal an infected sternal wound. J Wound Care. 2004:13(10):425-6.
- Nwankwo EU, Maduba CC, Modekwe VI, Nnadozie UU. The use of unripe pawpaw for wound bed preparation following radiationinduced sacral ulcer: A case report and review of literature. Niger J Med 2021;30(339-41).
- 22. US Food Drug Administration (FDA). 2015. Questions and Answers about FDA's Enforcement Action Regarding Unapproved Topical Drug Products Containing Papain. Available from: http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/EnforcementActionsonUnapprovedDrugs/ucm119646. htm. [Accessed January 2021].
- 23. Hakim R, Fakhrurrazi, Dinni. Effect of Carica papaya extract toward incised wound healing process in mice (Mus musculus) clinically and histologically. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2019;2019:8306519.
- 24. Tumpa SI, Hossain MI, Ishika T. Antimicrobial activities of Psidium guajava, Carica papaya and Mangifera indica against some gram positive and gram negative bacteria. J Pharmacogn Phytochem, 2015;3(6):125-9.
- 25. Kravitz S, McGuire J, Zinszer K. Management of skin ulcers: Understanding the mechanismand selection of enzymatic debriding agents. Adv Skin Wound Care, 2008;21(2):72-4.
- 26. Haesler E, Watts RR, J., Carville K. Local resource botanicals used in wound care. Wound Practice & Research, 2016;24(2):85-90.
- Pieper B, Caliri MH. Nontraditional wound care: A review of the evidence for the use of sugar, papaya/papain, and fatty acids. J Wound Ostomy Continence Nur, 2003;30(4):175–83.

